



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/033,622	12/27/2001	Abbie Parker	10015505-1	9307	
7590 08/16/2004			EXAMINER		
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			CHEN, ALAN S		
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	O 80527-2400		2182		
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	In.	\not				
Advisory Action	10/033,622	PARKER, ABBIE	المال					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	J	1				
	Alan S Chen	2182						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	1				
THE REPLY FILED 21 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re- ich places the appli	ply to a cation in					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THeate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. (136(a) and the appropriat	See MPEP					
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended as T.1.7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	ecause:							
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);			İ				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.								
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):			l				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	ed amendment					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	: :							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
10. Other:								
	SUPERV TECH	SORY PATENT EXAM						
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Adv	isory Action		Paper No. 08102004					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

10/033,622
Continuation of 2. NOTE: adding the word driver changes the scope of the claims, requiring additional search. Previously, only the term "printer setting" was considered, which under the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claims did not need to involve the printer driver as applicant argues. As pointed out in the rejection, Cloutier et al. specifically recites determination of adverse print settings in a digital image processor that would affect print image quality and notification thereof to the user (abstract, Column 1, lines 60-65; Column 2, lines 25-30; and Column 3, lines 55-65).